An international peer-reviewed journal which publishes in electronic format

Volume 7, Issue 1, June 2018
Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief
Xi Ye, PhD in Architecture, School of Architecture, Planning and Landscape, Newcastle University, UK; Email: x.ye2@newcastle.ac.uk
Nilay Özsvaş Uluçay, Interior Architecture & Environmental Designer; PhD in Art, Muğla Sıtık Koçman University, TURKEY; Email: nozsavas@gmail.com

Managing Editors
Nader Ghaemi, PhD Student, Department of Architecture, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, IRAN; Email: nader.ghaemi63@gmail.com
Fatemeh Samimiasl, MSc, Faculty of Social Science, University of Tabriz, IRAN; Email: fsamimiasl@gmail.com

Reviewers
Abdel Al Mohammed Abdoun, PhD, Lecturer and researcher at Cairo University, Holds Master in Architectural and Environmental Engineering, EGYPT, email: e_abdelal_abdoun@yahoo.com
Ali Mashhadizadeh Roveshti; PhD; Urban and Regional Planning, Gazi University, Ankara, TURKEY; Email: mashhadizadeh54@gmail.com
Aayushi Verma, PhD Junior Research Fellow (UGC), Department of Humanities and Social Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Uttarakhand, INDIA, Email: aayushi03verma@gmail.com
Golrokh Daneshgar, Assistant Prof. of Architecture; Islamic Azad University, Hamedan, IRAN; Email: gdanesh@ut.ac.ir
Hourakhsh Ahmadnia, PhD; Assistant Prof. of Architecture; Gine American University, TURKEY; Email: hourakhshahmadnia@gau.edu.tr
Houshang Sarvar, Department of Urban Science, Faculty of Humanities, Maragheh University, Maragheh, IRAN; h.sarvar1351@gmail.com
Ila Gupta, Professor & HOD, Department of Architecture and Planning, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Uttarakhand, INDIA, Email: ila.gupta@ar.iit.ac.in
Johnson Adelani Abodunrin, PhD in Art, Department of Fine and Applied Arts, Ladoke Akintola, University of Technology, Ogbomoso, NIGERIA; Email: jaabodunrin@lauitech.edu.ng
Jianfei Zhu, Associate Prof. of Architecture; Melbourne University, AUSTRALYA; Email: zhujingde@gmail.com
Justyna Karakiewicz, Associate Prof. of Urban Design; Melbourne University, AUSTRALYA; Email: justynak@unimelb.edu.au
Kavos Shahri, PhD in Architecture from Baku National University, The Faculty of Islamic Azad University of West Azarbaijan, Science and Research Branch, Baku, AZERBAIJAN; Email: khayos.shahri@yahoo.com
Mahnaz Mahmoody, Assistant Prof. of Architecture; Islamic Azad University, Qazvin, IRAN; Email: mahnaz_mahmoody@yahoo.com
Mastooreh Gholipour, Department of Urban Planning, Tehran University of Art, Tehran, IRAN; Email: m.gholipour@umz.ac.ir
Mohammad Arif Kamal, PhD, Associate Prof., Architecture & Environmental Design, Aligarh Muslim University, INDIA; Email: architectarif@gmail.com
Mona Hashemi Yazdi, Master of Art in Urban Design, Tehran University of Art, Tehran, IRAN; Email: yazdi_ma@yahoo.com
Miles Lewis, Professor Dr., Faculty of Architecture, Melbourne University, AUSTRALYA

Naser Naseri, Assistant Prof., Department of Literature, Shabestar Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shabestar, IRAN

Olusegun Moses Idowu, PhD, Department of Architecture, Modibbo Adama University of Technology, Yola, NIGERIA; Email: idowumosegun@gmail.com

Rafooneh Mokhtarshahi Sani, Assistant Prof. of Architecture; Eastern Mediterranean University, CYPRUS; Email: sanini@ut.ac.ir

Saeed Khosh Niyat, PhD in Architecture from Baku National University, The Faculty of Islamic Azad University of West Azarbaijan, Science And Research Branch, Baku, AZERBAIJAN; Email: saed.kh.arc@gmail.com

Language Editors

Samuel Stephen Oldershaw, Master of TESOL, The Humberston School & The Grimsby Institute, Nuns Corner, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire, UNITED KINGDOM, Email: s.s.oldershaw@hotmail.com

Mehrdad Ehsani-Zad, MA in TEFL, Takestan, Islamic Azad University, IRAN, Email: mehrdad_single2004@yahoo.com

Advisory Board

Hourakhsh Ahmadnia, PhD; Assistant Prof. of Architecture; Girne American University, TURKEY; Email: hourakhshahmadnia@gau.edu.tr

Kianoush Zaker Haghighi, Assistant Prof. of Urban Planning; Islamic Azad University, Hamedan, IRAN; Email: k.zakerhaghighi@gmail.com

Mihai Corneliu, PhD of Architect, Architecture Faculty “Politehnica” University of Timisoara, ROMANIA, Email: mihai.donici@gmail.com

Mohammad Arif Kamal, PhD, Associate Prof., Architecture & Environmental Design, Aligarh Muslim University, INDIA; Email: architectarif@gmail.com
Aesthetics and day-lighting correlation: an experimental study of form and placement of windows on buildings.

Idowu O. M. and Humphrey S.

J. Art Arch. Stud., 7(1): 01-10, 2018; pii:S238315531800001-7
DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.5114/jaas.2018.1

ABSTRACT
Design concepts or principles such as 'Form follows function', 'Beauty in usability', or 'Attractive things work better' suggest that a positive correlation exists between aesthetics and functions of a building. Windows are designed probably for aesthetics and daylight in spaces of a building. However the design of windows for adequate daylight may be antithetical to that of aesthetic enhancement. This study sought to ascertain the effect of window form and position on, and the correlation if any, between aesthetics and daylight in spaces of a building. 143 respondents in four groups who were mainly undergraduate and postgraduate students and lecturers in Architecture were the respondents in the study. Six simulated elevations of an existing building with different form and placement but same window area were ranked in order of aesthetic pleasantness. Six architectural models of a typical room in the building were constructed with the window forms and placement as on the simulated elevations. Day-lighting levels were observed with lux meter outside, and at 16 positions on the floor of the simulated rooms. Mean daylight factors and daylight levels of in the rooms were calculated. Spearman's Rank Order Correlation Coefficients were employed to ascertain correlation between aesthetic rankings of the elevations and respective daylight factors. It was found that window forms and positions affect both aesthetic rankings and daylight factors in rooms of the buildings. Correlation coefficients of +0.94 were obtained in three of the four ranking groups, while the other ranking group recorded a coefficient of +0.77. The study concluded that the correlation between aesthetics and day-lighting through window design is at least appreciable and positive. It was recommended that windows form be rectangular with geometric proportion toward 'the golden ratio'
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AESTHETICS AND DAY-LIGHTING CORRELATION: AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF FORM AND PLACEMENT OF WINDOWS ON BUILDINGS
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ABSTRACT:
Design concepts or principles such as ‘Form follows function’, ‘Beauty in usability’, or ‘Attractive things work better’ suggest that a positive correlation exists between aesthetics and functions of a building. Windows are designed probably for aesthetics and daylight in spaces of a building. However the design of windows for adequate daylight may be antithetical to that of aesthetic enhancement. This study sought to ascertain the effect of window form and position on, and the correlation if any, between aesthetics and daylight in spaces of a building. 143 respondents in four groups who were mainly undergraduate and postgraduate students and lecturers in Architecture were the respondents in the study. Six simulated elevations of an existing building with different form and placement but same window area were ranked in order of aesthetic pleasantness. Six architectural models of a typical room in the building were constructed with the window forms and placement as on the simulated elevations. Day-lighting levels were observed with lux meter outside, and at 16 positions on the floor of the simulated rooms. Mean daylight factors and daylight levels of in the rooms were calculated. Spearman’s Rank Order Correlation Coefficients were employed to ascertain correlation between aesthetic rankings of the elevations and respective daylight factors. It was found that window forms and positions affect both aesthetic rankings and daylight factors in rooms of the buildings. Correlation coefficients of +0.94 were obtained in three of the four ranking groups, while the other ranking group recorded a coefficient of +0.77. The study concluded that the correlation between aesthetics and day-lighting through window design is at least appreciable and positive. It was recommended that windows form be rectangular with geometric proportion toward ‘the golden ratio’
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INTRODUCTION

Aesthetics has been referred to as that branch of philosophy dealing with the nature, art, taste and expression of beauty. Involving the study of sensory or sensori-emotional values, it is sometimes described as ‘judgement of sentiment and taste’ [1, 2], and ‘the science of how things are known via the senses’ or ‘standards of taste’ [3]. Environmental aesthetics has also been defined [4] as psychological pleasure sensation towards the environment. Architreator [5] held that architectural aesthetics is governed by elements such as form, colour, light and shade.

Windows are designed for day-lighting, natural ventilation, outdoor view prospects, and to enhance the aesthetics of buildings [6, 7]. Rich and Dean [8] are of the opinion that the proportions, framing materials and position of windows can influence the feel and quality of spaces in a building. Climatic factors, thermal and visual comfort needs may influence the form, materials and components of windows. The resultant window designs sometimes enhance aesthetics in buildings retrofitted for thermal performance through changes in materials and components of window as reported in Gynimah and Tietlow [9] and Apogee Enterprises [10]. Window design for thermal or visual comfort may also be antithetical or contradictory to aesthetics enhancement. For instance, passive cooling enhancement in the warm-humid and hot-humid climates requires window areas and positions different from those required in the hot-dry and cold-dry climates [11]. Visual comfort challenges may not be equally addressed through daylight in these scenarios.

Windows and day-lighting

Daylight is admitted into architectural spaces through design of fenestrations in form of side-lighting (wall opening) or top-lighting (roof openings) of buildings [7]. While side-wall windows and clerestory windows are components of side-lighting, monitor light, saw-tooth light, and north roof light are examples of top-lighting. Even though day-light quantum admitted into space partly
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depends on height of fenestration as cited in Abraham [7], Moscoso [12] described windows as the most basic daylight collectors, capable of influencing the aesthetic quality of spaces in a building. Other factors that may affect amount of daylight admission include: intensity and direction of sunlight; luminance (photometric brightness) and luminance distribution of clear, partly cloudy, and overcast skies; surrounding physical features and terrain [6]. The light falling on a point indoors is made of the sky component, the component reflected by interior surfaces, and the component reflected by external surfaces. Daylight factor is a measure of interior day-lighting and defined [13] as a ratio of the light falling on a point indoors to that which would fall on the point from an unobstructed sky.

Daylight influences the pleasantness, excitement, order, complexity, legibility, coherence, spaciousness, openness, and spatial definition of a space [12, 14]. In Abraham [7], day-light is also cited to create healthier and more stimulating work environment, enhance productivity and afford better quality illumination. Efficient Windows Collaborative [15] adds that these attributes are influenced by the size, geometry, distribution and placement of windows on the building. Abraham [7] however warns that visual problems may be created by windows if not fitted with light shelves (Figure 1a) or venetian blinds. Unacceptable brightness levels and excessive contrast ratios of the background to foreground are among the problems associated with windows.

Windows and aesthetics

According to Ching [16], the visual properties of shape, size, colour and texture, position, and orientation constitute the form of a building, and that the aesthetics of an architectural form or element is influenced by variables including proportion, scale, balance, rhythm, contrast, and unity. Aesthetic judgement, according to Smith [17], often engages visual proportions at both primary (first-order) and secondary (second-order) levels. In a similar vein, Vitruvius [18] believed that due regard for proportion creates orders and makes architecture beautiful. For him, harmony is achieved only when correct proportions are employed (throughout) from the whole to the individual elements of the building, as evident in the natural proportion of the human body. Often referred to as ‘the golden ratio’ (harmonic or divine proportional ratio), this natural proportion has been celebrated as the hallmark of aesthetic proportion in historic buildings such as the Pantheon. It is expressed [19] as the proportion of two dimensions such that the ratio of the shorter (s) to the longer (l) is the same as the ratio of the longer to the sum of the shorter and the longer (i.e., s:l = l:(s+l)). Empirical studies [17, 19, 20] show that architectural forms with proportions closer to the golden ratio (1: 1.618) are adjudged more aesthetically delightful to beholders from diverse backgrounds. The golden ratio is often correlated with the ‘Fibonacci Sequence’ of numbers in which each number is the sum of the two preceding numbers (e.g., 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 65, 99, 164). The sequence is believed to be exhibited in patterns found in some natural forms including bones in the human hand [19].

The proportional quality of a building is determined in part by the relationship between window and wall. The extent to which a building displays the quality of proportion is an aggregation of characteristics, ranging from the massing of its principal features to the proportion and disposition of windows, the ratio of the ground storey to upper floors and wall to roof. According to Smith [17], the sum of ‘window-ness’ is pitched against the totality of ‘wall-ness’, one against the other within the limit of deferential dominance (figures 1b & 1c). Windows as a discrete feature have significance in terms of proportion. The Georgian and Victorian windows for instance conform to the golden ratio, but differ sharply in aesthetic value due to the difference in number of their panes (Figure 1d).

Eurhythmy and symmetry are related criteria for judging the beauty of the design. Eurhythmy is the right relationship, proportional as well as formal, of the parts of an individual element (such as window). Symmetry on the other hand is the right relationship of individual elements to the composition as a whole. For Vitruvius, symmetry is the most important aesthetic quality in a building, and it is the harmonious correlation of proportions throughout a design [18].

Figure 1a: Light shelf daylight distribution. Source: Abraham [7]
The research problem

The prescriptive interpretation of “form follows function” \[19\] holds functional requirements to be more important than aesthetic considerations of buildings.

But for architects like Alberti and Ruskin \[18\], beauty was the overriding criterion in determining the success of a building; beauty is inseparable from suitability for use, and hence an aspect of utility.

Given that daylight for visual comfort is one of the functional requirements of an architectural space influenced by form and position of windows, how much of it (day-lighting) is provided in a ‘beautiful building’? In other words, what is the correlation between the daylight in spaces and the aesthetics of a building? This study elicits the nature and degree of correlation, if any, between the aesthetic and the day-lighting values of window forms and placement on buildings.
METHODOLOGY

The investigation is a simulated experimental design as espoused in Groat and Wang [21]. It is a graphical simulation of the elevation of part of an existing Department of Architecture building. Five other elevations with same area but different form and position of windows were simulated, and the six elevations presented on A-4 paper-page (Figure 4). Physical architectural models of a room in the building were constructed with the six different forms and positions of windows on the external walls.

Description of the study objects

All the elevations (named G, H, J, K, L, and M) have same wall, room, and window areas but differ in the form (shape) and distribution (position) of their windows. Windows of the rooms on each elevation are of the same form and distribution. Elevation G has two windows each 1200 x 1200mm, 1200mm apart and 930mm above floor. Elevation H has two windows 1600 x 900mm each, 400mm apart and 1230mm above floor. J and K have same window shape and spacing as H, but different positions of 1830mm and 630mm respectively above floor level. L and M have one window each 2400 x 1200mm centrally placed along the wall length but of different height above floor level: 930mm and 1530mm respectively above floor level (Figures 2 to 4; Table 1).

A lux meter was deployed to measure daylight levels (DL) outside and on 16 designated points on the floor of the model rooms placed at window sill level indoors. Two out-of-the-model measurements were taken before and after the in-the-model measurements. Daylight factor (DF) for each of the model rooms were calculated as ratio of in-the-model mean daylight level to the average value out-of-the-model.

Copies of the simulated elevations were produced and administered to the respondents who were mostly architectural educators and students. Weighted means were calculated to obtain the aggregated aesthetic ‘weight’ of each elevation by respondent groups. The mean daylight factors of the model rooms and the corresponding elevations’ aesthetic weighted means were ranked in order of magnitude from the highest (ranked as 1st) to the lowest value (ranked as 6th). Aesthetic rankings by the four respondent groups were in-turn paired with the corresponding daylight factor rankings in order to establish any correlation. The Spearman’s Rank Order Correlation Coefficients, as described in Koleoso [22], were calculated for the four groups. Values obtained were interpreted using the following rule of thumb: ±0.00 to ±0.19, negligible; ±0.20 to ±0.39, low; ±0.40 to ±0.59, moderate; ±0.60 to ±0.79, substantial; ±0.80 to ±0.99, high; ±1, perfect.

Table 1: Design attributes of the study objects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Window attributes</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geometry: (Ratio)</td>
<td>Square (1:1)</td>
<td>Rectangle (1:1.8)</td>
<td>Rectangle (1:1.8)</td>
<td>Rectangle (1:1.8)</td>
<td>Rectangle (1:2)</td>
<td>Rectangle (1:2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimension</td>
<td>1200 x 1200mm</td>
<td>1600 x 900mm</td>
<td>1200 x 900mm</td>
<td>1600 x 900mm</td>
<td>2400 x 1200mm</td>
<td>2400 x 1200mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal spacing</td>
<td>400mm</td>
<td>400mm</td>
<td>400mm</td>
<td>400mm</td>
<td>mid-wall length</td>
<td>mid-wall length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height above floor</td>
<td>630mm</td>
<td>1230mm</td>
<td>1830mm</td>
<td>630mm</td>
<td>930mm</td>
<td>1530mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS

Table 2 shows the daylight levels (DL) and daylight factors (DF) in the model rooms of the simulated elevations G, H, K, L, and M. The aesthetic rankings of the elevations according to respondent groups are indicated in tables 3 to 6, while in table 7 are the correlation coefficients of the daylight factor of the elevation-rooms and aesthetic ranking of the elevations by respondent groups.

Daylight levels in elevation G-room range from 54.0 lux to 758.0 lux with mean value of 280.3 (and standard deviation, SD of 207.92 lux). The mean daylight factor for the room is 0.31. Elevation H-room has daylight factor of 0.30, daylight level range of 492.0 lux, and mean daylight level of 267 lux. Observed in elevation J-room are daylight level range of 381.0 lux, mean value of 180.0 lux, and daylight factor of 0.20. Daylight factor of 0.33, daylight level range of 512.0 lux, and mean daylight level of 284.5 lux were observed in elevation K-room. In elevation L-room, observed were daylight factor of 0.34, mean daylight level of 310.3 (and SD of 236.7), and daylight level range of 759.0 lux. 585.0 lux was the range of daylight level observed in elevation M-room, while the means of daylight level and daylight factor in the room were 224.3 lux (and SD of 175.3) and 0.24 (SD of 0.18) respectively (Table 2).

The 300 level student respondents (Table 3) ranked the aesthetic appeals of elevations G, H, K, L, and M as 4th (mean rank weight of 3.71), 3rd (mean rank weight of 3.84), 6th (mean rank weight of 1.84), 2nd (mean rank weight of 4.32), 1st (mean rank weight of 5.12), and 5th (mean rank weight of 2.25), while their rankings by 500 level student
respondents (in table 4) were 2nd (mean rank weight of 4.18), 4th (mean rank weight of 3.42), 6th (mean rank weight of 2.24), 3rd (mean rank weight of 4.02), 1st (mean rank weight of 4.73), and 5th (mean rank weight of 2.75). The elevations in the same order were ranked by the 600 level students (table 4) as 3rd (mean rank weight of 4.06), 2nd (mean rank weight of 4.26), 6th (mean rank weight of 1.84), 4th (mean rank weight of 3.58), 1st (mean rank weight of 4.77), and 5th (mean rank weight of 2.55); and were ranked by architects (table 5) as 2nd (mean rank weight of 4.31), 4th (mean rank weight of 3.38), 6th (mean rank weight of 1.38), 3rd (mean rank weight of 3.53), 1st (mean rank weight of 5.15), and 5th (mean rank weight of 3.23).

The Spearman’s Rank Order Correlation Coefficient of the daylight factor of the elevation-rooms and aesthetic ranking of the elevations by 300 level student respondents (ARTS/DFR) was +0.94. Same values of correlation coefficient (+0.94) were obtained for 500 level students and architects respondents groups, while the value obtained for 600 level students respondents was +0.77.

Table 2: Daylight levels (DL) and daylight factors (DF) in the model rooms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Elevation G Room</th>
<th>Elevation H Room</th>
<th>Elevation J Room</th>
<th>Elevation K Room</th>
<th>Elevation L Room</th>
<th>Elevation M Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DL</td>
<td>DF</td>
<td>DL</td>
<td>DF</td>
<td>DL</td>
<td>DF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>41.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>44.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>54.00</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>47.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>84.00</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>44.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>361.0</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>72.00</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>108.00</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>267.0</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>69.00</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>457.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>369.0</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>73.00</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>554.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>319.0</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>69.00</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>403.00</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>127.0</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>281.0</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>385.00</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>143.0</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>288.0</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>186.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>409.0</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>297.0</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>496.00</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>533.0</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>420.0</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>267.00</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>288.0</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>309.0</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>194.00</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>294.0</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>317.0</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>209.00</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>290.0</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>293.0</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>205.00</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>208.0</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>218.0</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>704.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>492.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>381.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>280.31</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>267.0</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>207.92</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>159.8</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>132.9</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Aesthetic ranking by 300 level students (ARTS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Rating frequency positions/(weight)</th>
<th>Mean weight</th>
<th>Mean rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1st (6) 2nd (5) 3rd (4) 4th (3) 5th (2) 6th (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Elevation G</td>
<td>6 10 17 12 4 6</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>4th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Elevation H</td>
<td>5 14 12 19 4 2</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>3rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Elevation J</td>
<td>1 1 19 27 1 1.84</td>
<td>6th</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Elevation K</td>
<td>14 12 15 15 2 4.42</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Elevation L</td>
<td>21 11 9 2 1 1.12</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Elevation M</td>
<td>1 6 3 3 20 22</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>5th</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Aesthetic ranking by 500 level (graduating) students (ARFS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Rating frequency positions/(weight)</th>
<th>Mean weight</th>
<th>Mean rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1st (6) 2nd (5) 3rd (4) 4th (3) 5th (2) 6th (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Elevation G</td>
<td>8 14 9 7 3 3</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Elevation H</td>
<td>6 4 7 16 10 2</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>4th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Elevation J</td>
<td>3 1 5 5 9 20</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>6th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Elevation K</td>
<td>8 9 11 15 5 3</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>3rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Elevation L</td>
<td>21 8 7 2 4 1</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Elevation M</td>
<td>3 7 3 7 11 13</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>5th</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: Aesthetic ranking by 600 level students (ARSS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Rating frequency positions/(weight)</th>
<th>Mean weight</th>
<th>Mean rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Elevation G</td>
<td>5 9 3 0 3 4</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>3rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Elevation H</td>
<td>7 9 3 0 3 4</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Elevation J</td>
<td>2 0 4 5 19</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>6th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Elevation K</td>
<td>4 5 6 10 2 4</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>4th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Elevation L</td>
<td>12 7 7 3 2 0</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Elevation M</td>
<td>1 2 5 1 18 4</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>5th</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Aesthetic ranking by architects (ARAR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Rating frequency positions/(weight)</th>
<th>Mean weight</th>
<th>Mean rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Elevation G</td>
<td>3 2 6 1 0 1</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Elevation H</td>
<td>0 4 2 1 1 2</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>4th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Elevation J</td>
<td>3 0 0 0 0 5</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>6th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Elevation K</td>
<td>0 0 0 4 2 3</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>3rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Elevation L</td>
<td>7 3 1 0 0 0</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Elevation M</td>
<td>0 4 1 2 6 0</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>5th</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Correlation of aesthetic and daylight factor rankings (DFR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ARTS/DFR</th>
<th>ARFS/DFR</th>
<th>ARSS/DFR</th>
<th>ARAR/DFR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*SROCC  +0.94  +0.94  +0.77  +0.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks

* Spearman's Rank Order Correlation Coefficient.

Figure 2: Details of simulated rooms with elevations G, H, and J.

Figure 2: Details of simulated rooms with elevations G, H, and J.
Figure 4: Simulated elevations of part of an existing building.
DISCUSSION

The results reveal differences in mean and individual floor-bay values of daylight level and daylight factor in the rooms under study. Whether these differences are significant or not, they suggest that one or the two window design variables (form and position) under study affect daylight quality and quantity. The effect of individual variables may be appreciated by paired comparison of daylight in rooms with windows of only one different design parameter. For instance, comparisons of daylight in H- and J-rooms, and L- and M-rooms reveal that windows at higher level conduced to lower mean daylight levels (DL) and daylight factors (DF) on the room floor. It also shows that daylight is more evenly distributed (of better quality) on the floor of rooms with higher level windows. A comparison of H- and K-rooms (having same window form) also reveals the same pattern of more evenly distributed daylight on floor of room with higher window level.

A comparison of G- and L-rooms (of same widow height) reveal that L-room with a rectangular window-form conduces to higher values of daylight level and daylight factor than G-room with two (smaller) square window-forms. However, daylight levels are more evenly distributed in the room with two smaller (square) windows than in the room with one rectangular window.

The aesthetic rankings of the elevations are also different, again suggesting that one or the two variables under study (window form and position) affect aesthetics. There is some level of consistency in the rankings among the respondent groups. For instance elevations J, L, and M were ranked as 6th, 1st, and 5th respectively by the four respondent groups. L and M have same window form but differ only in window vertical position on wall. Their aesthetic ranking gap (1st to 5th) seem too wide to ignore, and this is suggestive of a significant aesthetic effect of window vertical position on wall. The same pattern is noticeable between J and H having same form but different window positions on wall. Lower aesthetic values are observed as window moves vertically away from the centre of wall. L and G are of the same height but different window forms, and were ranked seemingly different in aesthetic appeals, also suggesting that window form has effect on aesthetics. The ratio of the rectangular window (1:2) in L is closer to the golden ratio (1: 1.62) than that of square windows (1:1) in G. This result concurs with Lidwell et al. [12] and Idowu and Okonkwo [20], and further strengthens the aesthetic harmony theory of the golden ratio.

The Spearman’s Rank Order Correlation Coefficients of +0.94 in three of the four ranking groups and +0.77 in one suggest that there is a high positive correlation between aesthetics and daylight design of windows on walls. It indeed reinforces the believe [12, 13] that attractive things work better or beautiful forms are more functional (form follows function).

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study attempted to ascertain the effects of window forms and positions on day-lighting and aesthetics of buildings. It was revealed that rooms with a rectangular window-form conduces to higher values of daylight level and daylight factor than those with two (smaller) square window-forms. However, daylight levels are more evenly distributed in the room with two smaller (square) windows than in the room with one rectangular window.

It was also found that windows at higher level conduced to lower mean daylight levels (DL) and daylight factors (DF) on the room floor. It also shows that daylight is more evenly distributed (of better quality) on the floor of rooms with higher level windows.

Window forms and vertical positions on walls were also found to affect aesthetic ranking of buildings. Aesthetic ranking stepped up as window form got closer to the golden ratio; lower aesthetic values were observed as window moved vertically away from the centre of wall. A high or an appreciable and positive correlation between aesthetics and daylight design of windows on walls was discovered in the study. To enhance aesthetics and daylight through window designs, it is recommended that: (i) windows form be of rectangular geometry of proportion close to the golden ratio; (ii) windows be positioned to minimise eccentricity on individual room-walls.
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ABSTRACT
This paper examines the architectural design and planning of the residential apartment of the great Ibadan icon, businessman and philanthropist. The architecture is neo-classical and the building boasts of at least eighty five living spaces (parlour and rooms). The Adebisi mansion is a symbol of Ibadan-Yoruba material heritage. The people of Ibadan had great respect for the patron of the house - Giwa Adebisi and this is confirmed in the fact that his memories are preserved in legend, songs and poems that enunciate the man’s wealth and influence. These legends and songs that accompany his home in Ibadan is rarely found elsewhere in Yoruba society. The paper discusses the grandeur of the Adebisi mansion and concludes that the building is of significant historic and architectural heritage value and thus makes a worthy material for preservation.
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INTRODUCTION

“Eni ti o ba fe ko iru ile Adebisi, ko ni ile ko”
“One who seeks to build a house like Adebisi, is not ready to build a house”.

The aforementioned maxim in the Yoruba repertoire is a popular saying in Ibadan in the 20th century. It was used to advise young people not to nurture the desire to build a house like Adebisi’s mansion because it will be futile. The mansion of Adebisi has been portrayed in the Ibadan worldview as a structure like the Mapo hall in grandeur, elegance and splendor. The prevalent belief at the time the structure was built was that materials for the construction of the building could only have come from Europe. The construction of the building started in 1927 and was completed before the commissioning of Mapo Hall in October 5, 1929 [1]. The idea behind heritage Architecture which is primarily concerned with structures or parts of structure which carry a historical value often in the context of its host culture or society helps us put this study of the Adebisi mansion in perspective. The study helps us demonstrate and appreciate the importance attached to the brilliance of human creativity as expressed in built form. Architectural heritage embodies the outstanding artistic and historic value of a monument while manifesting human ingenuity [2]. This paper advances the functional use of space as a creative material culture independent from colonial finance, maintenance or repairs. The building symbolizes the wealth of Adebisi as cultural metaphor and a significant historical connotation for the Oyo Yoruba groups in Southwest Nigeria. It emphasizes the phenomenal position of the built environment in the architectural development of Ibadan society.

Sanusi Adebisi Giwa of Idikan
An Ibadan native, Sanusi Adebisi Giwas a prominent businessman in Ibadan in the first half of the twentieth century. Owing to the sketchy and uncoordinated process of formal registration of birth in the late nineteenth century in western Nigeria, Sanuni’s exact date of birth is unknown but he is believed to have been born around early-1890s. Historian professor Adesina describe him as a hard driven entrepreneur in the Ibadan cocoa business scene. The story of his childhood is scanty but research shows that as a youth, he was involved in his father’s business enterprise which was basically the marketing of traditional textiles - Adire and Aso-Oke. He hawked from one Yoruba town to another.

* Corresponding author’s e-mail: aaoladiti@lautech.edu.ng
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and this provided an outlet of his spirit of enterprise and ingenuity in the field of business [3].

Adebisi rose to a position of respect and honor among his peers due to his success and achievements. On account of this achievements, legends, songs, and poems that celebrated his popularity, wealth and influence played a significant role in immortalizing him as a great Ibadan entrepreneur. Further to this, and possibly more significantly, his famous residential building known as “Ile Adebisi Giwa of Idikan” made him a household name all through Ibadan city. The structure is indeed a worthy architecture icon and material heritage for coming generations.

A vivid illustration of the eminence the building carries among the Ibadan people is captured in the Yoruba poem documented by Odunjo and used in the teaching of Yoruba literature among the primary school students in western Nigeria. The poem titled “owo Apekanuko” celebrated Adebisi thus:

“osi nii je ta ni-mo-o ri
Se owo lo nje mo-ba-o-tan
Buruda id-ikan
L’owo so Adebisi
Gbogbo aye nii d’ebi
Eni owo ba n ba je.”

“Poverty brings about who are who?
Money (wealth) brings about I’m your kindred
Brother at Idi-kan
Is what money made Adebisi
Everyone becomes a relation
Of whosoever swims in prosperity (Odunjo, 2010)” [4].

This poem has become legendary as it accompanies Adebisi’s name and is rarely found elsewhere in Yoruba land. The wealth, fame and prestige acquired by Adebisi made him a respected individual that everyone wishes to identify with and refer to as “my brother” who reside at Idi-Kan - the place in Ibadan where the mansion built. The design plan of Adebisi’s mansion is quintessential and representative of the emergence of modernist Architecture in colonial Ibadan city.

The architects/builders of the building

The Adebisi building was designed and constructed by a Scottish Engineer Robert Taffy Jones, (1882-1949). Taffy Jones supervised the construction of the structure in conjunction with other local professional builders like Engineer Carew, all working as a consortium [5]. Mr Robert Taffy Jones was the first Ibadan colonial engineer, who worked in Southern Nigeria between 1910-1944 [6]. He started work as a road foreman and was later appointed Engineer to the Ibadan native authority in 1923 [7]. He remained in the ancient city of Ibadan until his retirement and return to Wales in Scotland. He is reputed to be among the leading engineers in the colonial service of the mid twentieth century. His architectural works presented mostly in neo-classical style were pronounced, brutish but often off tangent with the local urban character and usual Yoruba style were pronounced, brutish but often off tangent with the local urban character and usual Yoruba

The tower is 60 ft. high with an 11ft. square base and two entrances. It also has a 45 double spiral stair case which gave it the name Layiipo -- which means meaning spinning around -- amongst the natives. This monumental project was financed by the Ibadan native authority in memory of Sir Robert Lister Bower, K.B.E., C.M.E, and first Colonial Resident of Ibadan 1893-1897 whose character, courage and administrative ability won the universal and lasting esteem of the Yoruba. During his time, he firmly established the loyalty of the people to the imperial crown [6].

At the time Robert Taffy Jones was supervising and constructing the Mapo hall, he was commissioned by Sanusi Adebisi Giwa to design an architectural masterpiece closely related but not necessarily similar to the Mapo Hall that he, at that time was working as site engineer [1].

The building of Sanusi Adebisi Giwa was rated as one of the prominent structures that prides the development of the city of Ibadan and the place called Idi-Kan in particular. The grandeur of the structure was one of its kind and such that was not to be seen in Ibadan or elsewhere in Yoruba society.
during colonial times. The building takes on a dual role of residence and court in the manner that contest with the loftiest abodes of Ibadan royals. Many Ibadan indigenes derived pleasure in the use of the building for social, political and economic interactions within the city. The building has an inspiring influence towards higher ideals in the city’s development and broadened their outlook by given them a taste for finer things (Figures 1, 2 and 3).

METHODOLOGY

The study adopted a historical approach by examining the monumental and popular historic buildings designed by a British engineer in colonial Ibadan city in the first half of the 20th century.

The data for the study were obtained through direct observation, oral interviews with families and relatives to the owner of the building as well as information from books and journal articles related to the study. Photographs of the building were taken by the authors after obtaining permission and acceptance of the occupants living in the residential apartment. The sketch plan of the building provides the background plan of the building.

Description of the Building: Building materials, techniques and construction of Ile–Adebisi Giwa of Idikan walls.

The sandcrete wall of the building was finish with cement mortar and concrete blocks (Figure 4). With this technique and materials, the Adebisi house accommodated vernacular building practice. What is more concerting is in spite of the unapologetic neoclassical exuberance, the structure remains faithful in philosophy to the use of materials that are in synchrony with traditional Yoruba building ideology.

1) Doors and windows: Doors were made from timber typical of Yoruba traditional houses and windows are made up of glass materials with timber frames in order to admit light into the inside of the building from the outer surroundings or from the courtyard. The main buildings have large glass windows while the bungalows have wooden boards as windows. In fact, in some living and sleeping areas, the windows were so small admitting little light through the outside rather reminiscent of early traditional Yoruba building conceptions.
2) **Roofs:** The main building and the adjoining living areas were roofed with corrugated iron sheets draining into a roof gutter and connected with pipes to drain off from the hipped end roof. The roof of the main building and the adjoining living areas to the main entrance of the compound were also finished with concrete facial round the total perimeter of the building (Figures 3 and 5).

3) **Fencing:** The fencing of Adebisi house was well articulated to delimit the property from other structures. The fencing was done in such a way that different flanges between wings of the property were well differentiated from the main building. For instance, the wing housing the parents and other extended family were fenced off from the main building premises but was connected with a gate entrance to accommodate them too (Figure 6). The space left out (at the rear end of the compound) for burial of the dead was also fenced off from the main compound.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The Adebisi Sanusi mansion in Idikan has been described by Ibadan locals as parallel to no other building owned by an individual under colonial rule.
in Western Nigeria. The house was indeed a symbolic creative form of art and architectural master piece of its time. The planning and architectural design of the building was a product of colonialist ideology distilled in modernity. The architecture of the building was articulated in a careful mix of neo-classical and Afro-Brazilian style. Both the western and eastern entrance of the building features a 19th century Portuguese style curved pediment. The eastern end features a remarkably simple low bas relief rendition of a flower on the frontal end. The main approach view of the building is symmetrical and formal in appearance.

The arch curvature at the entrance is supported by two square base columns to each side of the entrance gate. The curved pediment on top of the western entrance is also engraved but this side features an elephant motif with a squirrels placed on either side in an arrangement style that mimics the medieval western European crests. The pediment is further decorated with a flower verse and the inscription “SAG” which stands for Sanusi Adebisi Giwa, the patron of the building (Figure 7).

![Figure 7: Entrance gate to the building (the elevation rests on an imposing column signifying the strength, power and quality of the building).](image)

Architectural design and planning of the building

The built area of the Idi-Kan house of Adebisi seats on about a half-acre (3 and ½ plots) parcel of land. The house comprises of about 85 rooms on two floors of four separate structures with adjoining bungalows providing shelter for other members of the family (Figures 1, 2 and 3). Made entirely of sandcrete walls and floors, the structure features typical modern fitted doors and windows made from timber/wood and glass. Reputed to be about ninety years old, it is now mostly in a state of disrepair. That notwithstanding, the building still carries an aura of brilliance and it is still occupied by the members of the Adebisi’s family.

Symmetry was a design ideology in the conceptualization of this structure. This is evident in the careful arrangement of the Doric style columns on the approach elevation. Each arch lands on Doric columns and are distributed at equal distance from each other. The central arch which hosts the pediment is flanked by three columns each to both sides (Figure 9) emphasizing balance in the arrangements and forms, a key aesthetic character of the building. The deliberate use of sturdy Doric columns further enunciates rigidity, power and influence; all hallmarks of the patron Adebisi. Though on a minuscule scale, the balustrade on the first floor of the building gives balance and verticality to the otherwise sturdy character of the ground floor.

The central arch which doubles as the entrance porch leads to a big hall (Igbejo) (Figure 10) which is about 86.4m² in size and serves as visitor’s waiting area and the patron’s court used for general family meetings and disputes resolution for neighbours and family members alike. In addition to the court, the ground floor of the main building also consists of a large living room and five adjoining bedrooms. The ceiling of the hall was made of a wooden slab supported by arch curvatures of 10 columns doubling as support for the upper floor slab. The columns serve as the main structural support to the upper floor slab (Figures 8, 9 and 10).

The ground floor of the hall was finished with PVC tiles with wooden skirting round the wall edges to make a neat and well-finished edge. The enclosed walls were finished with glossy paint while the windows are of well-designed wooden net/blind and glass windows (Figures 11 and 13).

It is however clear that the oil paint on the wall now is not the same that was used at inception. It is not uncommon in the western part of current Nigeria for wealthy patrons to repaint their houses yearly in some type of renewal ritual and also as a show of continued affluence and relevance. The ceiling was finished with well-seasoned timber laid in layers and painted in white colour though in dilapidating state now (Figure 12).

The Igbejo hall (Figure 10) on the ground floor also leads to a central lobby which in turn continues to other four adjoining bedrooms and a private
apartment with a living room and two bedrooms. The private apartment can be accessed via a separate lobby which also provides access to a stair well. The left wing of the hall leads to a stair hall doubling as corridor leading to other parts of the compound. The stair is made of concrete at the lower part (about 10 risers and 9 goings) while the rest (upper) part was made up of timber with wooden balustrades (Figures 14 and 15).

The upper part of the staircase is protected with a wooden door providing security to upper parts of the main building (Figures 15 and 16). The rear part of the building features another stair hall leading to the upper part of the building from the rear which houses the Chief's big private sitting room and his personal bedroom with other bedrooms (about five) used for special guests as the need arose.

The upper floor consists of a long (wide) terrace (Figure 17) in the exterior with wooden balusters/ handrails round the terrace with a pronounced centrally positioned pediment at the top of the main facade finished with the earlier described elephant motif flanked two squirrels showing purposeful ornamentation, a composite order of classical architecture (Figure 17). The pronounced enclosure serves as bedroom for one of Chief Adebisi’s sons.

The adjoining two other structures at the back of the main building is famed to house the 36 wives of Chief Sanusi Adebisi Giwa and his children. The other structure adjacent to the main building is built in form of a boy’s quarters with sitting rooms and adjoining bedrooms while the last structure at the rear was arranged in a row round a central courtyard and also serves as living apartment for the wives of the Chief and other members of the extended family. The rectangular arrangement in courtyard style aids circulation, lighting and ventilations. Towards the rear of the compound is located the central kitchen which is now out of commission. In the early days, it served as the central cook-house were all the main meal of the compound was prepared. Toward the left was an open bathroom located at the very end of the compound.

In the rear portion of the entire structure and compound houses the mausoleum and the cemetery for the dead members of the family. The main mausoleum houses the remains of Chief Adebisi while the rest of the surrounding land is where his late parents, brothers and some wives were interred. This portion of the compound was fenced off from the main compound to give privacy and respect for the dead.

**Figure 8:** Approach view of the main building

**Figure 9:** Doric style columns holding the main entrance arches/porch to the building

**Figure 10:** Igbejo Hall
**Figure 11:** Glass windows with wooden finished blind

**Figure 12:** Wooden ceiling in the *Igbejo* Hall.

**Figure 13:** Broken glass window with wooden frames

**Figure 14:** Concrete staircase

**Figure 15:** Wooden part of the staircase

**Figure 16:** Wooden door used as security to upper start of the staircase

**Figure 17:** Upper terrace and the elephant motif grounded with squirrels in both sides
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Design patterns, layout and function of the building

The primary purpose and typology of the building is residential for the Adebisi family at Idikan, Ibadan. The plans of Adebisi Idikan house as depicted by Figures 1, 2 and 3 showed the compound layout. In figure 1, the site layout is seen showing the Gate house(a) and the adjoining living areas (b & c), next to it is the main residential building (d) accommodating the chief and some of his guests and grown-up sons. The boys' quarters and the rest living areas (e) house the children and the other wives of the Chief Adebisi. The main significant proportion of the living area in this wing combined the traditional impulvium - courtyard which shows that not only does the design rests on two major neo-classical styles already mentioned, but it also borrows from traditional expressions making it a significant example of hybrid experimentation in colonial western Nigeria. The back of the building contains cooking area-kitchen (f) and the store (g) while the last portion (h) was used as cemetery. The separated living area to the right wing of the compound at the entrance of the compound accommodates the extended family members and Adebisi's parents (Figure 6).

Table 1 below revealed that the building form is rectangular in shape with courtyards showing the characteristics of new-classical and Afro-Brazilians style. The floor finish comprises – polyvinyl chloridetiles while the upper floor (stab) was finished with timber finished. The major function of the floor is to enhance smooth movement of occupants and to prevent moisture and (damp penetration) vegetation growth with the building. Other functions of the floors are to support the occupants to rest their feet and withstand the loads that will be imposed upon it. These loads could be persons, furniture, machines, equipments and book among other.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic structure</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Functions usage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building form</td>
<td>Rectangular with traditional</td>
<td>Purely sandcrete and concrete</td>
<td>Residential Building.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>courtyard system. Neo-classical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and Afro-Brazilian style.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor</td>
<td>Sandcrete, PVC tiles and timber.</td>
<td>PVC floor tiles, concrete slab and</td>
<td>For resting and smooth movement of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>timber.</td>
<td>occupants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walls and</td>
<td>Sandcrete block, concrete block</td>
<td>Cement and sand</td>
<td>Privacy and protection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>materials uses</td>
<td>wall and paint.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door type and</td>
<td>Wood/ timber</td>
<td>Timber and glass</td>
<td>Accessibility and circulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>their sizes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window type and floor</td>
<td>Wood/ timber and glass</td>
<td>Timber and glass</td>
<td>Lighting and ventilation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sizes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gates types and</td>
<td>Steel grills</td>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>Security.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>materials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceilings finishes</td>
<td>Concrete crete and timber finish</td>
<td>PVC floor tiles, concrete slab and</td>
<td>Security.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>timber and paint</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveniences</td>
<td>Water System and pit latrine</td>
<td>Ceramic and Sandcrete/</td>
<td>To easy and making good of the body.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>concrete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rooms</td>
<td>About 85 rooms</td>
<td>Sandcrete block wall and</td>
<td>Sleeping and relaxation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cement mortar plaster</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall type and</td>
<td>Igebejo</td>
<td>Sandcrete walls, timber ceiling</td>
<td>For receiving large visitors,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>functions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>holding of family meeting and mini</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>court to settle disputes among</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>family and neighbours.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof</td>
<td>Hip roof and concrete roof slab</td>
<td>Corrugated iron sheets with</td>
<td>Security, shield from weather</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(roof gutter) /deck and semi-</td>
<td>concrete facial, concrete roof</td>
<td>elements and protection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>circular arches.</td>
<td>slab with gutter.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors' analysis and interpretation (2017)

The walls of buildings are basically used to divide and enclose spaces, for protection and privacy. The walls of Adebisi Giwa was made up of sandcrete blocks concrete blocks finished with plaster and rendered with emulsion paints. The walls are also used to divide the buildings in to apartments or....
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rooms and defined the space outside and inside the building structure in terms of fence walls. The walls also provide supports to docketant kind of loads (dead, life and wind loads). The doors and windows are majority of timber/wood material. The major functions of doors and windows are to act shield or barrier to seeing through, add aesthetics value to the building, and providing lighting and ventilation in a building. The windows are also used to enhance visibility from the building which is reflective in the use of glass with wooden frames a most of the windows in Giwa Adebisi Idikan residential buildings. While windows and doors also emphasis the design of the building, the door also serves as access and easy circulation from spaces to spaces in the building. The roof of a building is the envelop for the entire building. The of the building is Hipped type roof with concrete slab gutters round the four sides of the main building through which the drainage gutter were embedded and water drains off from the roof to the ground surface gutter and channelled out of the building, to the main drainage water channel outside the building. The material for the roof is majority corrugated iron sheets and concrete slab. The entrance gate was made-up of iron grills (Steel material) and serves as check for visitors, and as a means of security to the whole compound. The gate houses are two in number. The ceiling finishes are made up of timber and concrete slab and serves as security and support to upper floor. It also protects the roof materials/ structures and serves as aesthetical value for the building.

The rooms are about 85 rooms in member and are used for relaxation, sleeping and for private activities. The Hall (Igbejo) is made up of sandcrete walls and timber ceiling materials. It is used for receiving visitors; family members; meetings and mini-court which was used by Adebisi Giwa to settle disputes among family members and neighbours.

The conveniences in the main building (toilets and bathroom) are majorly of water system type while those used by other family members are pit latrines located at the extreme back of the family compound.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

It has been shown from the foregoing that the building of Sanusi Adebisi Giwa is one of the most symbolic creative architectural landmark in Ibadan in the early twentieth century. The ninety year old building is typified as mixture of Neo-classical and Afro-Brazilian mansion in style, design and outlook with an infusion of traditional elements and philosophy. The house is regarded as one of the most celebrated aspects of the material culture of Ibadan people and it prides itself as one not to be found anywhere else in the Yoruba country. With a proportional mix of colonial influence, Afro-Brazilianism and the traditional impluvium-courtyard style, the building has stood the test of time since its completion in 1927 as no major or serious repairs have been carried out on the building. The House of Sanusi Adebisi Giwa of Idikan is indeed and architectural heritage that carry historical value and must be preserved. The conservation and the preservation of the building by family members welcome a visual relief for passers-by, visitors and researchers to the family compound. The continued maintenance of the structure must however receive attention from the heritage agency of the Federal government of Nigeria as in no other building in Ibadan is there to be seen the culmination of a heritage infused together as a symbiotic hybrid as one will see in the Adebisi mansion.
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